AUDIT & ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE 29 FEBRUARY 2020

RISK MANAGEMENT

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 To provide an update to members on the Council's Risk Management arrangements and status of the Council's Strategic Risks.

2.0 Background Information - Risk Management

- 2.1 An annual strategic risk workshop was held with SLT in July. During this workshop the following actions were undertaken:
 - Annual review of the strategic risk register
 - Full overview of the last audit report actions
 - Evaluation of the risk review process
 - Evaluation and determination regarding management of project risks
 - Evaluation and determination of corporate risk maturity and appetite
- 2.2 The last audit of the Council's Risk Management arrangements, carried out by Assurance Lincolnshire in 2018, identified a **substantial** level of confidence in service delivery, management of risks and operation of controls and/or performance.
- 2.3 Performance of all strategic risks continue to be reported to SLT quarterly via the performance assurance reporting system.
- 2.4 All red or failing strategic risks continue to be reported to SLT at appropriate intervals.
- 2.5 A full review of the risk management policy, associated guidance document and processes is currently being undertaken. The updated documents will be approved by SLT.
- 2.6 During the last risk workshop SLT considered risk maturity ratings, as identified with the last Risk Management Audit. As part of this review SLT have determined corporate objectives in developing risk management systems across the council. These are to be developed, where required, to ensure risk management systems are at these agreed levels.
- 2.7 The corporate Risk Management Group continues to meet on a quarterly basis. Members of this group are provided with an overview of the current status and performance of strategic and operational risks. In addition members of the group raise and discuss new and/or emerging risks.
- 2.8 Operational risks identified as red are monitored and reported to SLT as part of the wider assurance arrangements. Further assistance will be provided to Business Managers in reviewing and developing their operational risks.

3.0 Strategic Risks

- 3.1 Strategic risks are those that have the potential to halt or interfere with the Council's ability to achieve its priorities and/or deliver its statutory duties.
- 3.2 As detailed in 2.1 a risk workshop, involving members of SLT, has been undertaken to agree risks that are strategic in nature for the forthcoming year. When reviewing strategic risks consideration is given to how the whole organisation is positioned to deliver its objectives having regard to internal/external factors, some of which are out of the Council's control e.g. economic downturn.
- 3.3 The current register has 12 strategic risks. These are listed in the table below.

Title	Description	Notes
SR101 Financial	Ensuring financial sustainability of the general fund	Revised
sustainability –	to allow the council to undertake its core functions,	strategic
General Fund	deliver services, meet its corporate priorities and	risk.
	objectives	
SR102 Financial	Financial sustainability of the HRA to ensure the	Revised
sustainability - HRA	council is able to provide, maintain and develop its	strategic
	housing stock.	risk.
SR103	Preventing failures within safeguarding	Existing risk
Safeguarding	arrangements to ensure protection of vulnerable	- no
	persons	significant
		change.
SR104 Failure to	Facilitating the provision of local infrastructure to	Revised risk
deliver growth	ensure growth within the district to meet agreed	based on
infrastructure	plans & corporate priorities	previous
		wider
		growth risk.
SR105	Managing contracts with key suppliers, including	Existing risk
Contract/supply	NSDC wholly own companies, to ensure the	with greater
failure	continued delivery of an effective service and ensure	focus on
	delivery of the council's priorities and objectives.	wholly
		owned
		companies.
SR106 Workforce	Ensuring the council is able to recruit, maintain and	Revised risk.
	retain appropriate staffing resource to ensure it is	
	able to deliver its services and meet its corporate	
	objectives.	
SR107 Loss of	The risk of vulnerable communities with NSDC	Existing risk
community	feeling disengaged, excluded or being unable to	with new
cohesion	access available services and opportunities.	focus.
SR108 Emergency	The Council's ability to effectively respond as a	Existing risk
response	category 1 responder to a major emergency and	– no
	maintain a suitable response without affecting	significant
	essential service delivery.	change.
SR109 Corporate	Risk of failure in systems of governance within the	Existing risk
governance	council, council owned/influenced organisations and	- no
	partnerships or other collaborative arrangements.	significant
		change.
SR110 Data	Deliberate or unintentional loss/disclosure of	Existing risk

management and	personal, sensitive, confidential, business critical	- no
security	information or breach of information governance	significant
	legislation.	change.
SR111 Arkwood	Managing performance and the relationship	Existing risk
Development	between the Council and Arkwood Developments in	- no
	accordance with the governance agreement.	significant
		change.
SR112 Brexit	Effects of BREXIT on the council's ability to deliver	Existing risk-
	services and to the sustainability of its communities.	no
		significant
		change.

3.4 Significant changes to the strategic risk register

SR101 &102 Financial sustainability - The previous financial sustainability risk has been removed and replaced with separate assessments for the General Fund (SR101) and the Housing Revenue Account (SR102). It was felt that the original risk, which covered all financial matters, was too broad and didn't allow for specific issues posed by the GF and HRA accounts to be properly expressed.

SR 104 Failure to deliver growth - The previous remit of this risk was very wide ranging considering all issues that affected growth within the district, many of which weren't within the Council's control. Due to its wide ranging remit it was difficult to manage. It was agreed that this risk should remain but its focus should be narrowed to concentrate on facilitating the delivery of local infrastructure to enable growth within the district.

SR105 Contract/supply failure - It was agreed that this risk should remain, however, it has been refocused to concentrate on major suppliers of council services/functions, especially those that assist in the delivery of the community plan.

SR106 Workforce – This was previously entitled Organisational Development and mainly considered risks posed through changes to the organisation. The new focus of this risk is now on ensuring that the available staffing resource is suitable to ensure the council can meet its corporate priorities/objectives.

SR107 Loss of community cohesion - Previously entitled sustainable communities this risk's remit considered wide ranging issues to all communities. It was agreed that it should be refocussed to establish the issues facing vulnerable communities which may cause them to become disengaged or unable to access critical services provided by the council.

SR10 Impact of welfare reform changes – This has now been removed from the strategic risk register. The main purpose of this risk was to consider the risks associated with the implementation of the welfare reforms. This will now be covered within Operational risks for those specific sections who are specifically delivering services that are directly affected by issues relating to welfare payments.

- 3.5 Ownership of each risk is assigned to a relevant director. Owners, with the assistance of lead officers and Safety and Risk Management Officer, have now developed all new risks.
- 3.6 All risks have been reviewed and action plans developed.
- 3.7 Strategic risks SR104, SR108, SR110 and SR112 are currently identified as red risks. Whilst every reasonable effort will be made to reduce the risk to a level to ensure compliance with the corporate risk appetite, it should be noted that the very nature of strategic risks are complex and influenced by many outside factors/controls. Some actions can be very long term and in other cases the ability to reduce the risk further may not be in the control of the council.
- 3.8 All twelve Strategic Risk assessments have been appended to this report.

4.0 **Equalities Implications**

4.1 There are no specific equalities implications arising from the details set out within this report. Where appropriate equalities are considered as part of the risk management process and where appropriate these are noted against the relevant risk and mitigation put in place to manage the risk along with any other risks identified.

5.0 Financial Implications

5.1 FIN19-20/2208 - There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

6.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

Members of the Committee are recommended to note the content of this report.

Reason for Recommendations

To update the Committee on the Council's approach to risk management and provide an update on the status of the Council's strategic risks

Background Papers

Nil.

For further information please contact Richard Bates – Safety and Risk Management Officer on extension 5593.

Karen White

Director – Governance and Organisational Development

Appendix 1

Management Action Plan	SR101 Financial sustaina General Fund	bility –	Risk Owner	Kohli, Sanjiv (SLT)		
Description	Ensuring financial sustainability of the general fund to allow the council to undertake its core functions, deliver services, meet its corporate priorities and objectives		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM - FIN)		
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix Target Risk Matrix Risk Review Period Quarterly					
Likelihood	Likelihood	Cikelihood	Last Review Date	Target Date Management		
Impact	Impact	impuot	22-Jan-2020	31-Mar-2020	Controlled	
Risk Officer Review			22-Jan-2020	31-Mai-2020	Controlled	
Vulnerability	Financial Meeting corporate objectives Service delivery Reputation Governance Compliance					
Trigger/Event	Unforeseen rise in interest rates over forecasted levels Changes in national policy eg. fair funding review, change to government political parties Banking crisis Over reliance and poor decision making on investments Member priorities diverging from corporate priorities Increase CPI/RPI figures Failure of subsidiaries Major contract failure Failure of HRA Reduction in Business Rates 2. Poor decision making and business planning Budgeted income levels not meeting target Impact on promised funding not as expected Change in significant income/funding Failure in compliance/ governance Fraud					
Impact	Loss of critical income streams Inability to deliver services Inability to meet corporate priorities Inability to meet legislative requirements External auditors review Government taskforce Negative media/reputation Loss of ability to make local decisions Division between members and officers Greater division between political parties Staff morale and loss of key staff Fines/ enforcement S151 officer issues S114 notice GF fails due to third party failure, i.e. HRA/subsidiaries Impact on residents and communities					
Measures in Place	Impact on residents and communities Quarterly Capital monitoring meetings Investments approved in line with the annually agreed Treasury Management Strategy Annual refresh of MTFP including management of reserves Council approved Capital programme Financial implications added to Committee reports by Financial Services					

Further	Use of external MTFP tool which assists with forecasting future Business Rates income Assigned project manager for each major project the Council is embarking on Corporate Projects Team established to identify business opportunities in service areas Director/Business Unit Manager quarterly meetings reviewing Directorate financial position Approved Commercial strategy to support objectives set out in the MTFP Approved Investment Plan to support the objectives set out in the Commercial Strategy Nottinghamshire Business Rates Pool mitigating large impacts of reductions in Business Rates. This under review by Nottinghamshire S151 officers Quarterly budget monitoring report tabled at SLT and Policy and Finance Committee Annual Financial Regulations training in place The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Strategic			
control/actions required	Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.	_		
Linked Actions		Progress Bar		
RP-SR101 Financial sustainability – General Fund				
Linked PIs		Status		

Management Action Plan	SR102 Financial sustainability - HRA		Risk Owner	Kohli, Sanjiv (SLT)		
Description	Financial sustainability of the HRA to ensure the council is able to provide, maintain and develop its housing stock.		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM - FIN); Main, Rob (BM - HSD)		
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix Target Risk Matrix Risk Review Period Quarterly					
Likelihood	Like iii ood	lmpact	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management	
impuct	impuot		25-Nov-2019	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending	
Risk Officer Review						
Vulnerability	Financial Meeting corporate objectives Service delivery Reputation Governance Regulation and compliance					
Trigger/Event	Change in national policy Increase in interest rates Increased rent arrears Increased voids Suitability of stock meeting future standards Increase or change in standards required Current stock does not meeting housing needs Workforce issues Housing management review and implementation Failing to ensure compliance with relevant legislation causing regulatory bodies to intervene Noncompliance with rent standard Meeting tenant priorities Ineffective strategic decision making and business planning Key HRA major projects failure Ineffective management of housing maintenance function Loss of critical income streams Fraud Failure to manage critical income streams/ invest					
Impact	Inability to maintain stock to Budget unable to address ch			nt of future stock		

RP-SR102 Financial sustainability - HRA Linked PIs	s Bar			
RP-SR102 Financial sustainability - HRA				
Linked Actions				
Further control/actions The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.	Risk			
Quarterly Capital monitoring meetings Investments approved in line with the annually agreed Treasury Management Strategy Annual refresh of MTFP including management of reserves Council approved Capital programme Financial implications added to Committee reports by Financial Services Financial strategies and budget reviewed through Policy and Finance Committee annually Use of external MTFP tool which assists with forecasting future Business Rates income Assigned project manager for each major project the Council is embarking on Corporate Projects Team established to identify business opportunities in service areas Director/Business Unit Manager quarterly meetings reviewing Directorate financial position Approved Commercial strategy to support objectives set out in the MTFP Approved Investment Plan to support the objectives set out in the Commercial Strategy Nottinghamshire Business Rates Pool mitigating large impacts of reductions in Business Rates under review by Nottinghamshire S151 officers Quarterly budget monitoring report tabled at SLT and Policy and Finance Committee Annual Financial Regulations training in place	is kept			
Cash reserves used to right off rent arrears and voids Substandard housing stock Loss of morale and high staff turnover Fines, notices, court cases and legal fees Moratorium of services Dissatisfaction with service delivery Greater scrutiny on service slowing decision making Poor local housing policy Project failure Contract disputes \$151 officer issues \$114 notice Failure to service debt Legislative requirements not met Impact on residents and tenants				

Management Action Plan	SR103 Safeguarding		Risk Owner	Finch, Matthew (SLT)	
Description	Preventing failures within safeguarding arrangements to ensure protection of vulnerable persons		Assigned To	Batty, Alan (BM - PPR)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly	
Like 1000	Likelihood	Impact	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management
			09-Dec-2019	31-Mar-2020	Controlled
Risk Officer Review	9/12/19 - MF, AB, RB, LM				
Vulnerability	Compliance /Legislation Reputation Financial Resourcing Partnerships Governance Vulnerable persons				

Further control/actions required Linked Actions RP-SR103 Safeguardi	Annual review and report to SLT DBS notification process Internal Audit Notts wide network for referring information relating to PREVENT Participating in serious case reviews as required Learning from serious case reviews Annual assurance report to committee with exception reporting if require Undertake domestic homicide reviews as directed by CSP The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.	Strategic Risk Progress Bar 44%				
control/actions required	DBS notification process Internal Audit Notts wide network for referring information relating to PREVENT Participating in serious case reviews as required Learning from serious case reviews Annual assurance report to committee with exception reporting if require Undertake domestic homicide reviews as directed by CSP The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the	_				
control/actions	DBS notification process Internal Audit Notts wide network for referring information relating to PREVENT Participating in serious case reviews as required Learning from serious case reviews Annual assurance report to committee with exception reporting if require Undertake domestic homicide reviews as directed by CSP The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the	Strategic Risk				
	DBS notification process Internal Audit Notts wide network for referring information relating to PREVENT Participating in serious case reviews as required Learning from serious case reviews Annual assurance report to committee with exception reporting if require					
Measures in Place	LEAD OFFICER: Director of Communities & Environment - M Finch Countywide safeguarding policy adopted - and reviewed / updated March 2018 Disciplinary policy Annual Review and Update of the Council's Safeguarding Policy Key Staff already undertaken E-Learning BMs and safeguarding specific training PREVENT introduction to safeguarding training rolled out to all staff Staff Induction training organised Member training Officer represented on the Notts Safeguarding Children's Board and Notts Safeguarding Actional procedures in place Corporate safeguarding group meet quarterly Safeguarding Support Officers in place Shared safeguarding email Annual completion of Section 11 return	dults				
Impact	Foreseeable and avoidable harm is suffered by a vulnerable person Serious case review by Nottinghamshire safeguarding board Litigation (criminal and civil) Disruption caused by targeting by group/individual Possible effect on future insurance costs depending on liability and claims history Personal Liability Staff Morale Radicalisation Reputational damage with community e.g. negative media Resourcing any additional works Child exploitation, domestic abuse, radicalisation, modern day slavery Organisational abuse (e.g. older people in care homes)					
Trigger/Event	Reduction of Managers means that relevant officers may not be available Lack of Training Turnover of staff resulting in loss of continuity Failure to identify a serious case Resurrection of a historic case Lack of resources to respond to the safeguarding process (identification through to investigation and report) Inappropriate response to a case Lack of corporate awareness and culture (members and officers) lack of communication / Partnership failure Non adherence to corporate policy, not escalating and not reporting					

Management Action Plan	SR104 Failure to deliver growth infrastructure Risk Owner			Lamb, Matt (SLT)		
Description	Facilitating the provision of local infrastructure to ensure growth within the district to meet agreed plans & corporate priorities Assigned To			Main, Rob (BM - HSD); Hughes, Lisa (BM - PDV); Norton, Matthew (BM - PPO)		
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix					
Likelihood	Impact Last Review Date Target Date Manager					
impact	impact	Control Pending				
Risk Officer Review						
Vulnerability	Inability to deliver infrastructure to support growth Political Reputation Financial Partners and stakeholders					
Trigger/Event	Government change in policy Government funding withdrawn Funding reduced Funding bid failure Housing development stalls Change in leadership Poor strategic decision making Change in partnership priorities Withdrawal of Partners Failure of other related major infrastructure projects					
Impact	Infrastructure not delivered Inappropriate infrastructure delivered Growth within district affected Inadequate service provision Government sanctions for inability to deliver housing growth Housing development stalls Social Inequality Large Employer relocating outside district Inward investment stalls					
Measures in Place	Community Plan Infrastructure delivery plan CIL charging schedule and infrastructure list Development plan (Amended Core Strategy and Allocations and Development Management DPD)					
Further control/actions required	The further actions required to Action Plan under the Actions I	be underta	ken for this risk (if any)	are detailed within	the Strategic Risk	
Linked Actions					Progress Bar	
RP-SR104 Failure to	deliver growth infrastructure				0%	
RP-SR104 Failure to deliver growth infrastructure Linked PIs						

Description including ensure effective the control of the control	e delivery cial iance (Regulatory, Heanance rces ational RACT INCEPTION	companies, to ry of an delivery of bjectives. Target Risk Matrix Impact ent to SK for a		Syddall, Steven (BM - Nick (BM - FIN); Main Batty, Alan (BM - PPR Megan 1 Admin Quarterly Target Date 31-Mar-2020	, Rob (BM - HSD);					
Matrix Document D	e delivery cial iance (Regulatory, Heanance rces ational RACT INCEPTION	Matrix Doo Impact Impac	Last Review Date 22-Jan-2020 uthorisation	Target Date						
Risk Officer Review DJ risk Service Financi Compli Govern Resour Reputa	e delivery cial iance (Regulatory, Heanance rces ational	Impact ent to SK for a	22-Jan-2020 uthorisation	-						
Vulnerability Service Financi Compli Govern Resour Reputa	e delivery cial iance (Regulatory, Hean nance rces ational		uthorisation	31-Mar-2020	Control pending					
Vulnerability Service Financi Compli Govern Resour Reputa	e delivery cial iance (Regulatory, Hean nance rces ational									
Vulnerability Service Financi Compli Govern Resour Reputa	e delivery cial iance (Regulatory, Hean nance rces ational									
. Lack	of commercial approach			echanisms available	Financial Compliance (Regulatory, Health & Safety, Legislative) Governance Resources Reputational CONTRACT INCEPTION . Lack of understanding of requirements and different provision mechanisms available					
Inade Inade Inade Inade Failur Contr Limite Over Lack Lack Lack Lack CONTR No as Contr Ineffe Everg Chan Lack Loss Relat Contr WHOLL Mana Gove Inade Contr Inade Relat Lack Board	equate/ambiguous speequate/ambiguous conequate/ambiguous exit re to engage relevant ract is not signed and red market supply reliance on single sup of competence in procof resource dedicated of preplanning for conof appropriate exit stract manager is not appropriate contract manager resource ective performance may green contracts in place in the procomplant of the procomplant in the procomplant is not appropriate to fixe performance may be a control/variations a conformation of the performance of the performance in the procomplant is not appropriate to deliver for the performance and oversight requate/ambiguous governance and oversight requate/ambiguous governance and oversight requate/ambiguous contionship breakdown and of ongoing challenge to directors do not performance appropriate review	ecification introl/performar it arrangement specialists in c saved in corpo pplier(s) curement I to procurement racts rategies ager ppropriately trace is insufficient onitoring and r ce are not approp throughout the resilience isn't able to de ES ot robust not appropriat vernance arran ource is insufficient ontrol/performar ind – objectives throughout the form within rec	nce measures s contract design orate register nt ained/skilled reviews riately managed e contract eliver (bankruptcy) e agement cient/ unsuitable nce measures do not align e contract quired parameters							

	Customer/service user complaints increase Member complaints increase Negative media coverage						
	. Contract manager resource requirement is increased (leading to impact on other duties) . Other officer resource required to manage impacts (leading to impact on other duties)						
	CONTRACT INCEPTION & MANAGEMENT . Contract register developed using Pro-Contract are mbedded yet see action) . Contact renewal early warning provided by OD at Procurement advice provided through Welland procured through the contract arrangements/template devised SLA template devised for consistency SLAs all reviewed . SLA register devised and actively managed by OE Comprehensive audit undertaken of contracts	quarterly mee		t fully populated or			
Measures in Place							
	WHOLLY OWNED COMPANIES . Management agreements regularly reviewed . Contract managers named for each . Regular contract management meetings in place . Active partnership approach embedded						
	TRAINING . Session delivered to BMs on contract managemer . Session delivered to members on contract manag						
Further control/actions required	The further actions required to be undertaken for t Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.	his risk (if any)	are detailed within the	e Strategic Risk			
Linked Actions				Progress Bar			
RP-SR105 Contract/	supply failure			0%			
Linked PIs				Status			
				•			

Action Plan	I					
Description	Ensuring the council is able to recruit, maintain and retain appropriate staffing resource to ensure it is able to deliver its services and meet its corporate objectives. Assigned To Baker, Jill (BM - CSC); Rich Dave (BM - ICT)); Richardson,			
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix Target Risk Matrix Risk Review Period Quarterly					
Likelihood	Likelihood	Likelihood	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management	
Impact	Impact	Impact				
	r	-	18-Dec-2019	31-Mar-2020	Controlled	
Risk Officer Review	CG 18/12/19					
Vulnerability	Service delivery and resources Financial Compliance Governance Reputational Competence and Capability Leadership					
Trigger/Event	Key staff leaving e.g. with specific qualifications and/or experience and membership of professional body Number of staff leaving from one area/high turnover Inability to recruitment to key posts Lack of development opportunity Lack of team cohesion Lack of alignment with corporate values/behaviours/culture Pressure of work Demand in a specific skill set Uncompetitive in the job market place Poor industrial relations Working environment Key member of staff goes on long term sick Organisational restructure					
Impact	Service delivery impact Reputational impact through poor service Reputation as an employer resulting in inability to recruit staff Loss of capacity/under resourced Loss of expertise and corporate memory High recruitment costs Additional time required to support introduction of new staff and development thereof Additional training costs Impact on morale, culture and team performance Increased levels of staff absence Loss of opportunity through loss of networks Inability to operate in accordance with statutory requirements Increase in accidents Impact of potential civil claim (e.g. employment tribunal. insurance) or criminal actions					
Measures in Place	Increased demand on corporate services (e.g. HR) Business Managers have individual development plans to help them manage change and risk. Senior HR Officers provide support to Business Managers to manage staffing issues, e.g. sickness absence, capability etc. Effective communication arrangements are in place. Rolling programme of review for HR policies to ensure they remain robust and fit for purpose. Selima system provides Business Managers with ownership / control over staff sickness/Holiday approval					

Linked Actions RP-SR106 Workforc	e	0%			
Linked Actions					
		Progress Ba			
Further control/actions required	The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Strategic Risk Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.				
and other staff change management such as recruitment. Review of training requirements linked to appraisal process to ensure that appropriate development is sourced and implemented for staff to include facilitation of succession management. Incentivised terms and conditions, e.g. agile and flexible working Use of Apprenticeships to support service areas and support succession management. Approved corporate priorities within the Community Plan 2019-23 Annual financial planning Project team and plan in place for housing implementation					

Management Action Plan	SR107 Loss of community	y cohesion	Risk Owner	Finch, Matthew (SLT)	
Description	The risk of vulnerable communities with NSDC feeling disengaged, excluded or being unable to access available services and opportunities.		Assigned To	Baker, Jill (BM - CSC); Monger, Leanne (BM - HHC); Batty, Alan (BM - PPR)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly	
Likelihood Name of the little	Likelihood Marct	Keilhood	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management
impact	impact		09-Dec-2019	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending
Risk Officer Review	9/12/19 - MF, AB, LM, RB		100 200 2029	101	Contains
Vulnerability	Disengagement Partners and stakeholders Reputation Community leadership Vulnerable persons				
Trigger/Event	Lack of understanding of communities' needs and consequent actions Not meeting expectations of communities New unforeseen incident or situation Poor judgement in making decisions Loss of key partner in delivering key service Change in partners funding/delivery priorities Unilateral decisions by partners National decision or event Internal community issue Lack of engagement with key community leaders outside of democratically elected Non representative community leader Withdrawal or reduction of services				
Impact	Diversion of resources to address problems Isolation of vulnerable groups Loss of confidence in the council and other agencies Reputation Engaging with the wrong people Physical unrest Civil disobedience Divided communities Economic loss Hate Crime				
Measures in Place	Diversionary activities Days of Action				

Management Action Plan	SR108 Emergency resp	onse	Risk Owner	Finch, Matthew (SLT)			
Description	The Council's ability to effectively respond as a category 1 responder to a major emergency and maintain a suitable response without affecting essential service delivery.		Assigned To	Richardson, Dave (BM - ICT); Batty, Alan (BM - PPR)			
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly			
Likelihood	Impact	Impact	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management		
	<u>'</u>		18-Dec-2019		Control pending		
Risk Officer Review	18/12/19 MF assessed in	Directorate meet	ing				
Vulnerability	Resourcing Reputation Expectations Partners Financial Suitability of response Service delivery	Reputation Expectations Partners Financial Suitability of response					
Trigger/Event	Extreme weather event of greater frequency and severity. Unpredictable district/regional/national emergency events. Council headquarters or key facilities are damaged. Pandemic Flu Cyber Attack Counter terrorist Attack Partners not playing part/full role Failure of resources / suppliers / supply chain Availability and resilience of key personnel A "no deal" Brexit (further information see Strategic Risk Brexit) Insufficient time given to BMs/SLT to deliver appropriately considered BCPs						
Impact	Drain on services and resources to provide an immediate and appropriate response. Managing and resourcing the longer term recovery process Business Continuity issues at Castle House and other council facilities - centres could be flooded, staff unable to get into work - loss of resources i.e. vehicles, premises. Reputational damage due to perceived failure to respond to emergency or maintain services						

Reputational damage to the District and attraction to place to live/work Failure to maintain critical services day to day Manage financial requirements of the emergency event Financial issues of being unable to claim back funding spent on assisting communities Financial implication of up front costs required during an emergency. Less likely to receive long term support to obtain government flood grants compared with high density areas due to cost benefit ratio. Effect on communities (commercial or domestic) Loss of key/ critical systems Inability to support critical projects and projects at a critical stage **LEAD OFFICER - Matthew Finch** Emergency Plan/ Business Continuity Emergency plans in place and securely stored on Resilience Direct Incident specific emergency plans in place. The plan is regularly reviewed and tested. Periodic review of key services by EPO e.g. Emergency Planning and Business Continuity. Management shows support for BCM through regular discussions in key meetings. Business Continuity is a regular agenda item. Management shows support to appropriately prepare, maintain and exercise a Business Continuity Plan by assigning adequate resources, people and budgeted funds. Periodic review of documents e.g. Emergency Plan, Business Continuity Plan. Business Continuity Audit Review. There is a Business Continuity Management (BCM) Policy and it is updated periodically. -Managers and staff have been made aware of BCP and BCM and their responsibilities. Managers have been consulted in developing the plan and key individuals who input is more important than others have been identified. The Business Continuity Plan identifies all critical areas of the authority There is an up to date Business Continuity Plan. **Financial** Corporate budget available to support flood alleviation schemes. Council maintaining budget figure and reserve up to Belwin amount to cover emergency incidents. Facilitation of government grant scheme in flood affected areas to enhance future resilience. Measures in Place Equipment/ Resources Flood stores in some communities with provisions to self help and therefore not be as reliant on council. Agile working arrangements. Cyber security arrangements Use and Audit of Resilience Direct as a Document Management System/repository Load key documents onto Resilience Direct Annual stock take of equipment in our Emergency Stores. exercise carried out gap analysis of the testing. Training & LRF Training Improved understanding of snow implications and therefore better plans in place. Memorandum of Understanding for mutual aid. Local Resilience Forum and annual risk assessment. Produced BCP BCM training timetable Offering of Health & Wellbeing advice e.g. offering flu vaccinations Partners/Public Work with EA (Environment Agency) on flood resilience work has mitigated/reduced the risk in some Improved monitoring systems by EA for earlier warning for floods. Raised awareness of flood risk, therefore increased understanding self help and increasing resilience. Develop emergency response management tool i.e. Meritech (AB) Deliver One off workshop for BMs on BCP Implement the training of BCP & BCM and general training for all staff - Production of induction training package **Further** The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Strategic Risk control/actions Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana. required **Linked Actions Progress Bar**

RP-SR108 Emergency response

Linked PIs

60%

Status

Management	SR109 Corporate govern	ance	Risk Owner	White, Karen (SLT)		
Action Plan Description	Risk of failure in systems of governance within the council, council owned/influenced organisations and partnerships or other collaborative arrangements.		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM - FIN); Piper, Trace		
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly		
Likelihood	Likelihood	Ripact	Last Review Date	Target Date Managemen		
Impact	Impact		22-Aug-2019		Controlled	
Risk Officer Review			22-Aug-2019		Controlled	
Vulnerability	Service delivery Governance Fraud Poor decision making/leadership Reputation Financial Legal compliance Partners/stakeholders					
Trigger/Event	Failure to communicate, define, review and uphold governance standards policies to ensure fitness for purpose. Failure of staff and councillors to understand their governance roles and responsibilities. Failure to observe good governance. Failure to adequately manage risk or monitor performance. Failure in Policy adherence (All policies). Malicious event eg. Fraud, money laundering, etc. Reduction in capacity and loss of key personnel and resources Failure to adequately oversee governance standards of partnerships and other entities that the Council is involved in.					
Impact	Failure of governance in partnership organisations Financial resource loss. Service delivery issues. Criminal or civil liability. Government or peer intervention. Reputational risk to the Council. Negative media coverage. Policies could be open to challenge. Excessive legal costs incurred. Poor or inadequate decision making. Poor staff morale. High staff turnover. Regulator finding fault eg. Internal Audit, External Audit, Ombudsman. Community disengagement. Capacity redirected to address failures. Loss of opportunity and ability to meet corporate priorities Inappropriate use Fraud and corruption practice identified. Fraud and corruption practices not identified or dealt with leading to an incident of fraud and corruption.					
Measures in Place	EAD OFFICER - Karen White Code of corporate governance created, maintained and monitored in accordance with CIPFA guidance. Corporate Governance self-assessment against the code of Corporate Governance undertaken periodically. Periodic review of governance by 3 statutory officers. Annual review of Constitution which includes fit for purpose and up to date:					

- . Officer code of conduct.
- . Officer registers of interests Related third party transactions.
- . Section 151 officer/Monitoring officer/Head of Paid Service.
- . Gifts and hospitality policy and register place.
- . Council Financial regulations and procedures,
- . Contract procedure rules
- . Whistle blowing policy
- . Anti-fraud and corruptions strategy

Annual governance statement reviewed annually and reported to Audit and Accounts Committee. Annual Governance Statement goes to Feb meeting of Committee

Creation of annual combined assurance report in conjunction with SLT and BMs.

Internal Audit work including risk based Audit Plan.

Effective use of External Auditor.

Scrutiny by Audit and Accounts Committee

Staff and member training in place

- . Training on governance issues including anti-fraud and financial regulations.
- . Counter fraud training delivered.
- . Member induction at the start of each new Council cycle.

Complaints:

- . Localised standards framework and effective arrangements for dealing with complaints overseen by Policy and Finance Committee.
- . Internal complaints procedure.

Fraud

- . Annual internal review of the Fraud Risk register to carry out proactive work, check on internal controls and is reported to members
- . Participation with National Fraud Initiative process
- . Options appraisal for counter fraud and implementation of preferred option.

Appropriate insurance cover including Fidelity Guarantee.

Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control.

. Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods.

HR policies in place

Recruitment process controls, e.g. References, Immigration, DBS.

Horizon scanning at Business Manager briefings and effective communication between SLT and business managers.

Measures in place to ensure IR35 compliance

Schedules review of Corporate Governance (Q4 19/20

Governance review ongoing with support from LGA

Further control/actions required

The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Strategic Risk Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.

- Squii Su	
Linked Actions	Progress Bar
RP-SR109 Corporate governance	0%
Linked PIs	Status

Management Action Plan	SR110 Data management security	and	Risk Owner	Kohli, Sanjiv (SLT)	
Description	Deliberate or unintentional loss/disclosure of personal, sensitive, confidential, business critical information or breach of information governance legislation		Assigned To	Baker, Jill (BM - CSC); Wilson, Nick (Bl - FIN); Richardson, Dave (BM - ICT)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly	
Dood liberal l	Likelihood Impact	Cikelihood	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management
			22-Jan-2020	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending
Risk Officer Review	3 September 2019, SK and	RB			
Vulnerability	Loss of vulnerable, personal Legal compliance Reputation Financial Partners/stakeholders Disruption of service from a		uable data		
Trigger/Event	Personal, confidential or corporately sensitive/business critical information disclosed unintentionally or through error of judgement when responding to requests for information, data breach - intentional (malicious) or unintentional. Theft or loss of equipment/papers/data belonging to the council, partners or third party companies. Cyber attack: (either targeted such as denial of service or unintentional access to link on another website. Agile Working ie. mobile/remote/home working/home printing/disposal of printed data/ /Outreach posts. Reducing resources with less capacity for processing data. Collaborative working, sharing, outsourcing and partnership working (including external printing and hybrid mail)/involvement in other peoples' data Use of BYOD (Bring your own device). Government integration agenda e.g. Increased working between public bodies Use of suppliers/third parties, etc. Decommissioning of property. Partnership working and sharing new service locations/data sharing issues. Partner's/contractor's/host's poor data management and information security leading to data breach/loss. Devolution/change in service delivery model. Loss of key resources. Third party access to IT systems. New and inexperienced staff/elected members with access to data. Termination of PSN/GCSX standards by the Cabinet Office limiting options for securely sharing with some Public Sector organisations. Failure to comply with relevant standards and legislation including PCI-DSS/Cyber Essentials/NCSC best practice/PSN. Unsupported software/unforseen loss of support Housing management transfer				
Impact	Adoption of unsupported/dated systems from third parties Individual loss/damage to an individual where the Council inappropriately released their personal data eg. civil claims. Damage to reputation of the Council/trust by the public. Breach of Access to Information legislation bringing about financial/legal damage - imposed on the Counciby the Information Commissioner and other Statutory Bodies. Financial/ resource impact of Information Commissioner investigation. Disciplinary action taken against a member of staff and elected members if a breach is found to be deliberate/malicious. Operational and resource issues eg. service interruption - where focus has to be taken away from service delivery to dealing with the breach. Individual loss/damage to an individual where the Council inappropriately released their personal data eg. civil claims. Drain on resources to process and enable conformity in legislation. Greater demand on existing resource Impact on Agile working - lack of ability to work remotely and available physical resource Cyber attack leading to system downtime/damage/loss of data (Ransom Ware) and financial loss/ resourcd drain Loss of provision to customers and partners eg. NSH, Active4Today, DWP, CCTV (under current			eed on the Council and to be way from service personal data eg.	

arrangements) leading to disputes over SLAs and contracts and potential loss of income, e.g. partner rent for Castle House.

Negative media coverage

Less control over data as service delivery models become more complex

Loss of partner data where the council is the data processor - subsequent impact on partner's reputation.

Loss of confidence with the Council

Loss of confidence with partners and stakeholders

Withdrawal of service from partners and stakeholder

Increased demand on existing services

LEAD OFFICER - Sanjiv Kohli

Training/ Guidance/ Compliance/ Agreements

Data protection training including a section on information security and targeted training ongoing for staff located elsewhere and forms part of the induction process.

Guidance and training available for elected members. 3 GDPR sessions provided for newly elected members.

Guidance on security breach procedures for Business Managers as Information Asset Owners.

Ongoing training for all staff taking payments in line with PCI-DSS requirements.

Relevant training for ICT staff.

Weekly review of ICO guidance.

Compliance with the governments security arrangements.

PSN compliant data & internet connections implemented.

Compliance with new Cabinet Office email standards achieved.

Information governance check on furniture that is being disposed of.

Information E Training completed by all staff.

Cyber Security now standing agenda item on monthly business unit management meetings.

Annual review of Information Asset Register.

Annual mandatory GDPR and cyber/phising online training for all staff and councillors.

Governance arrangements established through CIGG with monthly review.

ICT/Equipment

External Audit on ICT security annually.

Encryption for mobile devices.

VASCO tokens and Google Authenticator.

Quarterly ICT security checks internally.

Penetration test annually for external company - monthly scans of servers for weaknesses, monthly server updates and monthly scans of Microsoft Office and Windows.

Perimeter software - eg. mailmarshall & webmarshall.

Measures in Place

Hardening test on new virtual servers.

Documents scanned reducing the need for paper.

Secure server room.

East Midlands WARP membership - alerting networking facility regarding any breaches.

Monthly updates of Adobe products.

Program in place to ensure the continual maintenance & upgrade of the ICT environment.

Secure portal for Members to access the Extranet.

Airwatch MDM (Mobile Device Management) implementation for mobile devices.

DMark, DKim SPF and TLS secure email authentication software.

Cryptshare for encrypting secure emails and large files for email.

Report & record all cyber-attacks/attempts and escalate to CMT where appropriate Users own devices cannot connect to network

'Consent' tick box on appropriate forms.

Policies/Audit

Information management framework incorporating Security Policy and Security Breach Policy.

Annual SIRO audit.

Retention of document policy.

Data Protection policy.

Confidential waste handling procedure.

Review of policies and procedures to ensure compliance with latest Payment Card Industry- Data Security Standard (PCI-DSS)

Data security part of consideration as part of future strategic projects.

All aspects of GDPR action plan completed including compulsory e-learning for all staff.

SIRO audit completed confirming processes in place for identifying risks associated with any new project/process.

CIGG meeting every two months to review any new risks.

Periodic PCI/DSS compliance checks - see Corporate risk for further details.

Data Privacy Impact Assessment.

Partners/ Stakeholders/ Staff

Linked PIs				
RP-SR110 Data management and security				
Linked Actions		Progress Bar		
Further control/actions required	The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Strategic Risk Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.			
	Non-disclosure agreements in place for third party access. Use of data processing and agreements with partners. CIO/SIRO/DPO appointed. CIGG in place. Use of licensed Confidential waste handler. Letters sent to all third parties who process personal data on behalf of NSDC advising of additional responsibilities under GDPR and data processing agreements in place. Actions arising from report to SLT on third party users implemented.			

Management	SR111 Arkwood Development Risk Owner		Biole Owner	White Karon (SLT)	
Action Plan	SR111 Arkwood Developme		NISK OWITER	White, Karen (SLT)	
Description	Managing performance and the relationship between the Council and Arkwood Developments in accordance with the governance agreement.		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM - FIN)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly	
Impact	Dood: Well-bood with the second secon	lmpact	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management
impact	impact		08-Oct-2019		
Risk Officer			00 000 2013		
Review					
Vulnerability	Financial Reputation Service Delivery Conflict of interest				
Trigger/Event					
Impact	Conflict of interest - failure of the council to demonstrate the company's independence Financial Return on investment to the council not realised Lack of sustainability and viability of company Council not achieving aims & objectives as set out in Council's Commercial strategy				

Measures in Place Further control/actions required Linked Actions	LEAD OFFICER - Karen. Support: N Cook Shareholder Committee established and membership agreed Shareholder meetings scheduled Shareholder Committee Members training session completed on 17/1/18 Company's Articles and shareholder agreement in place Shareholder Committee approve business plan for company and business case for each d Monthly officer contract meetings between Arkwood and council Internal Audit Report giving substantial assurance Inclusion of risk register by company with business cases The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.	
Measures in Place	Market & External Factors Lack of housing that meets market demand Partners/Relationships Relationship breakdown between council and company LEAD OFFICER - Karen. Support: N Cook Shareholder Committee established and membership agreed Shareholder meetings scheduled Shareholder Committee Members training session completed on 17/1/18 Company's Articles and shareholder agreement in place	
	Resource Demand Substantive council roles of directors compromised Council resource overstretched – poor quality service to council or company Reputational Adverse impact on reputation Inability to contribute to local plan housing numbers Impact on reputation with key partners and stakeholders	

Management Action Plan	SR112 Brexit		Risk Owner	Finch, Matthew (SLT)	
Description	Effects of BREXIT on the council's ability to deliver services and to the sustainability of its communities.		Assigned To	Syddall, Steven (BM - AMP); Baker, Jil (BM - CSC); Wilson, Nick (BM - FIN); Monger, Leanne (BM - HHC); Main, Ro (BM - HSD); Richardson, Dave (BM - ICT); Norton, Matthew (BM - PPO); Batty, Alan (BM - PPR); Ward, Phil (BM - R&B); Marshall, Kate 1 Admin; Piper, Tracey 1 Admin	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Risk Review Period	Quarterly	
Likelihood	Likelihood	Likelihood Impact	Last Review Date	Target Date	Management
Impact	Impact	impuot	19 Dec 2010		
Risk Officer			18-Dec-2019		-
Review	18/12/19 MF assessed in Dir	rectorate meet	ing		
Vulnerability	Financial Reputation Resource demand Service delivery Communities				
Trigger/Event	Economic downturn Cost of goods and services increase Lack of investment from businesses in the lead up to Brexit due to uncertainty in the economy Weak currency due to 'drawn out negotiations of Brexit' Regulatory impact New legislation/guidance that will be enforced as part of Brexit will cause major delays Financial Implications Lack of funding for initiatives which would previously have qualified for EU funding Uncertainty Brexit negotiations resulting in uncertainty (e.g. No Deal) Delaying Article 50 leading to uncertainty Leadership contest causing further delay on outcome of Brexit Reputational Lack of planning Resource Demand Lack of resource (financial and staffing) to maintain services resulting from Brexit Service Delivery Lack of awareness of the implications of any Brexit scenario (not sharing crucial data internally) Lack of business continuity planning for any Brexit scenario Delays in announcements on wider Domestic policies such as social care, public transport, homelessness waste strategy due to Brexit negotiations still ongoing Cyber attack Protests and other actions planned				rnally)
Impact	Further electoral activity Economic Development Cost of living – food prices higher than the price of inflation. Businesses that are offering offers are not making profits. House prices increases as cost of materials increase making housing unaffordable Increase in zero hours contracts Uncertainty Civil Unrest Long extension to negotiations may cause local unrest				

Financial Implications Certain initiatives funded by the EU will no longer continue Impact on farming/agriculture (Funding) Impact on HRA development programme Increased use of temporary accommodation and possible legal action to remove households who may no longer qualify for access to the service Additional training required to ensure staff are up to speed with any legal changes following Brexit (e.g. changes in eligibility criteria for housing) Service Delivery Increase in homelessness Increase in those relying on universal credit Legislative changes impact heavily on how the waste management service is delivered Blocades may result in lorry park experiencing more demand Potential for fuel shortages Increase in negative decisions and households no longer eligible for services Resource Demand Lack of workforce in specific roles such as social care, service industry Skills shortage in key industries Multi-tier eligibility assessments required of individuals from EEA depending on status and arrival date in UK Increase in referrals to county council for households no longer eligible for public services Re-assessment of allocations scheme and eligibility criteria Impact on residents Potential for unrest and increase in hate crime Demographic changes due to shifts in immigration and migration Democratic implications Lack of engagement **LEAD OFFICER - M Finch** Horizon scanning - look at the key messages relating to Brexit from Central government. Quarterly update reviews with BM's to update a register of risks and associated mitigating actions to be fed into the strategic risk and maintained in risk log. Update Reports to SLT. Plans in place to manage impact on the Lorry Park Market trend analysis report developed to highlight differences between East and West regions of NSDC and reporting to Growth and Prosperity meetings. Communications plan informing media responses Responsive risk review in light of central government information. Full participation and engagement with the Local Resilience Forum. **Measures in Place** Full participation and engagement with LRF Monitor Risk log quarterly with BM's Responsive risk review in light of central government info Develop market trend analysis report to highlight differences between East and West regions of NSDC (quarterly). Agree key indices to report to Growth & Prosperity meetings to inform a joined up strategic approach around "Prosperity") Updates to be provided by CMT following attendance at conferences (e.g. Solace) regarding implications of Brexit (CMT) Working more closely with NFU to understand implications of Brexit, e.g staffing/funding (JRS) SLT have discussed details and considered wider risks/mitigation Communication updates from Food Standards Agency Evaluation of data undertaken and no data shared across borders. **Further** The further actions required to be undertaken for this risk (if any) are detailed within the Strategic Risk control/actions

Linked Actions	Progress Bar
RP-SR112 Brexit	0%
Linked PIs	Status

Action Plan under the Actions Module of Pentana.

required